

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/671,768	71,768 09/29/2003		Franz Danekas	Q76728	7542	
23373	7590	05/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EASHOO, MARK		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				1732		

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/671,768	DANEKAS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732	

	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	affidavit, or other evide n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ling date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o r than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see N		ecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under app	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).  Mark Eashoo, Ph.	
		Primary Examiner	
S. Patant and Trademark Office	···	04/1	Nay 106

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to claim 1 raises new issues that requires substantial further consideration and/or search. Specifically, the previous amendment recited "introducing said particle mixture into said screw". Based upon the drawings (see Fig. 2), which clearly shows a hollow screw, this apparatus had a resonable expectation of performing this limitation. However, as set forth by the examiner, such limitation was unsupported by the specification and was rejected under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph. No art rejection was made because no art was found to meet this limitation in the context of the claimed subject matter as a whole. It is noted that the Office cannot ignore the new matter and it must be considered as part of the claim when considering other possible rejections (see examiner note 3 in MPEP 706.03(o)). Thus, the amendment after final proposes a change that substantially changes the scope of the claim and would require further consideration and/or search.